

RUSSIAN GRAVITATIONAL ASSOCIATION
CENTER FOR SURFACE AND VACUUM RESEARCH
DEPARTMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL INTERACTIONS AND METROLOGY

RGA-CVSR-007/94
gr-qc/9406004

**CAUSALITY PROPERTIES OF TOPOLOGICALLY NONTRIVIAL
SPACE-TIME MODELS**

M. YU. KONSTANTINOV

*Centre for Surface and Vacuum Research,
8 Kravchenko str., Moscow, 117331, Russia*
e-mail: konst@cvsu.uucp.free.msk.su

Moscow 1994

CAUSALITY PROPERTIES OF TOPOLOGICALLY NONTRIVIAL SPACE-TIME MODELS ¹.

M. YU. KONSTANTINOV

Abstract

The causality properties of space-time models with traversable wormholes are considered. It is shown that relativity principle cannot be applied to the motion of the wormhole's mouths in the outer space and the dynamical wormhole transformation into the time machine is impossible. The examples of both causal and noncausal space-time models with traversable wormholes are also considered. Some properties of space-time models with causality violation are briefly discussed.

1 Introduction

The investigation of topologically nontrivial space-time models excites a big interest in last years due to their unusual properties [1-14]. Among such properties the causality violation and time machine creation are the most intrigue. The last possibility was firstly declared by Thorne et al [5] for the models of so called traversable wormholes and were considered afterwards by several authors [6-14]. To avoid numerous problems and "paradoxes" that are usually associated with the existence of closed world lines, the number of attempts to find some reasons that might prevent dynamical time machine creation and causality violation were undertaken [10-14]. The so called "chronology protection conjecture" [13,14] was proposed for the same goal.

It is necessary to note that the possibility of the dynamical time machine creation contradicts to well-known theorems about global hyperbolicity and the Cauchy problem [15-17] but there is no attention was paid to this contradiction in the papers [5-14].

The main goal of this paper is to consider the main factors that define the causality properties of the wormhole models and to demonstrate the impossibility of the dynamical wormhole transformation into the time machine. For this purpose we shall show that the motion of the wormhole's mouths in the outer space doesn't satisfy to the relativity principle. The impossibility of the dynamical wormhole transformation into the time machine follows directly from this statement [18,19]. Some examples of both causal and noncausal space-times models with traversable wormholes will be also considered. We use natural units ($G = c = 1$) throughout.

2 Geometrical description of the traversable wormhole models

The topologically nontrivial space-time models are described by finite or countable sets of maps that are joined with each other [15,20]. Consider such procedure for the traversable wormhole models. For clarity only the simplest geometrical space-time models with traversable wormholes will be considered. To obtain such models it is necessary to take a short handle with cylinder topology and join it with the Euclidean 3-plane. After that the resulting configuration must be multiplied on time axes. Space section of such model in (2+1)-dimensions is shown at figure 1.

¹This is an extended version of the talk presented by the author at the 8th Russian Gravitational Conference (Pushchino, 25-28 May 1993)

Figure 1.
Space section of the Lorentzian wormhole in (2+1)-dimensional space-time.

The simplest interior metric for this space-time has the form [1,5,6]

$$ds^2 = e^{2\Phi(l)} d\tau^2 - dl^2 - r^2(l) d\omega^2 \quad (1)$$

where $d\omega^2 = d\theta^2 + (\sin(\theta))^2 d\phi^2$ is a line element on a unit sphere, l - is a proper distance along the wormhole axis, the smooth functions $\Phi(l)$ and $r(l) > 0$ are symmetrical relative $l = 0$ and $r(0) \neq 0$. The asymptotic form of these functions depends from the wormhole joining with the outer space and will be considered in the following. If we denote the length of the wormhole's handle by S then the radii of its mouths are $r_{L,R} = r(\mp S/2)$.

Note that existence of interior time coordinate τ that define the simultaneity of events in the wormhole interior is the consequence of the traversability and hence is the general feature of the considered models.

Let's suppose for simplicity that the interior distance between wormhole mouths is much smaller then the same distance in the outer space. Then the interior synchronization of events near wormhole mouths is absolute. If moreover the sizes of mouths are small enough then the junction conditions only for the coordinates of the mouth's centers may be considered in the further discussion. If the centers of the mouths are placed in the outer space on the Z -axes and have interior space coordinates l_L and l_R then these junction conditions have the form

$$t_L = t_L(\tau, l_L), \quad z_L = z_L(\tau, l_L), \quad (2)$$

$$t_R = t_R(\tau, l_R), \quad z_R = z_R(\tau, l_R), \quad (3)$$

where t is the time coordinate in the outer space-time.

For the given metric of the exterior space-time junction conditions (2) - (3) define the asymptotic form of the interior wormhole metric. In particular, the general asymptotic form for the coefficient g_{00} in interval (1) for the Lorentzian external space-time are

$$g_{00} -> (t_{L,R,\tau}^2 - V_{L,R}^2) \quad (4)$$

where $t_{L,R,\tau} = dt_{L,R}/d\tau$ and $V_{L,R} = dz_{L,R}/d\tau$.

According to equalities (2) - (3), in the moment τ of its proper time wormhole connects two spacelike hypersurfaces $t = t_L$ and $t = t_R$ in the outer space-time. If moreover $|t_R - t_L| < z_R - z_L$ then there is such reference frame (t', z') in the outer space-time that $t'_L = t'_R$, and hence both mouths are on the same space-like hypersurface. In the opposite case such reference frame does not exist and space-time is noncausal.

3 Relativity principle for the wormhole models

Consider the case then (i) the intervals between events $(t_L(\tau), z_L(\tau))$ and $(t_R(\tau), z_R(\tau))$ are spacelike for all τ both in inner and outer spaces and (ii) both interior and exterior distances between wormhole mouths are constants.

Due to condition (i) it is possible to introduce in the outer space-time such reference frame that $t_L(\tau) = t_R(\tau) = \tau$. Hence τ is the global time coordinate in the whole space-time, so that

both inner and outer synchronizations of events near wormhole's mouths coincide. Unlike to the Minkowski space-time, such global time coordinate in the considered case exists only in the bounded class of inertial reference frames of the outer space-time. As a result the relativity principle can not be applied to the motion of the wormhole's mouths in the outer space. To see that compare the observer motion in the outer space relative wormhole mouths with the mouths motion relative observer.

In the first case the outer and inner synchronizations of events coincide in the outer space reference frame where the wormhole's mouths are at rest and the observer moves. In the comoving to the observer reference frame this coincidence violates. Indeed, the interior synchronization of events is defined by interior time coordinate τ and does not depend from the outer space reference frame while the outer synchronization depends from the reference frame motion as it follows from special relativity [21] (see figure 2a, where t, z are the immovable coordinates and t', z' - are the observer's comoving coordinates in the outer space; dash lines denote the interior synchronization of events).

Figure 2.

The clocks synchronization in the Lorentzian wormhole space-time: (a) observe motion in the outer space; (b) wormhole mouths motion in the outer space.

In the second case the interior and exterior synchronizations coincide in the outer space reference frame where observer is at rest. In the comoving to one of the wormhole mouths reference frame this coincidence violates (see figure 2b, where velocities of the wormhole's mouths are equal to each other and t', z' are the comoving coordinates to the wormhole's left mouth; the interior synchronization is denoted by dash lines).

The simplest generalization of the considered cases shows that the motion of the wormhole's mouths with different velocities cannot be reduced to the case, then one mouth is at rest. The last statement follows immediately from the asymptotic form (4) of the interior wormhole metric near its mouths.

4 Twin paradox for the traversable wormhole

The above consideration may be easily generalized to the accelerated motion of the wormhole mouths, for instance to the "twin paradox" motion. According to [5-8] "in the wormhole case twin paradox is a true paradox involving causality violation" [7]. This conclusion is based on the following reasoning [5,6]. Let at the initial moment the wormhole's mouths are at rest near each other. Subsequently, the left mouth (L) remains at rest while the right mouth (R) accelerates to near-light speed, then traverse its motion and returns to its original position. This motion causes the right mouth to "age" less then the left one as seen from the exterior. As claimed in [5-8] this leads to the wormhole transformation into the time machine because if time delay of right mouth is sufficiently large then at late time by traversing the wormhole from right mouth to left, one can travel backward in time.

In order to be true this argumentation needs in additional supposition that in the interior wormhole's metric the proper times of the mouths coincide with each other. However it is not necessary because the junction conditions (2) and (3) are independent. In particular, we may consider the case then both mouths move as above in $t - z$ plane of the outer space and $t_L = t_R = \tau$. In this case τ is a global time coordinate in the whole space-time that defines the absolute

synchronization of events near wormhole mouths (see figure 3, where dash lines denote the interior synchronization of events). By this reason the time delay of right mouth relative to left one must be also absolute and independent from the space path along which the comparison of clock reading is realized.

Figure 3.
Twin "paradox" in the Lorentzian wormhole space-time.

To show that it is indeed the case remind that the time delay of the right mouth relative to left one is defined from the equations of the world lines of the comoving observers

$$ds_L^2 = d\tau^2, \quad ds_R^2 = (1 - V_R^2)d\tau^2, \quad (5)$$

where $V_R = dz_R/d\tau$ is velocity of the right mouth in the outer space. Equations (5) have the same form both for interior and outer spaces and are the particular case of the equality (4), that define the asymptotic form for the component g_{00} of the interior wormhole metric. Hence the proper gravitation field of the right mouth induces the same time delay as the right mouth motion in the outer space. So the twin paradox doesn't lead to the causality violation both in Minkowski and in traversable wormhole space-times.

We have considered only the right mouth accelerated motion along the straight line. The case of more general motion may be considered by analogous manner. Hence we can make the general conclusion that in spite of the statements of papers [5-8] the accelerated motion of the wormhole's mouths does not lead to its transformation into the time machine and closed time-like curves creation. This conclusion conforms with well-known theorems about causal structure of space-time and Cauchy problem [14-16].

5 Causality violation in the wormhole models

In the above sections only the particular case $t_L = t_R = \tau$ of the junction conditions (2) - (3) were considered. Let now both $t_L(\tau)$ and $t_R(\tau)$ are arbitrary functions. In this case if for some values of internal time τ

$$\Delta t(\tau) = |t_R(\tau) - t_L(\tau)| > z_R(\tau) - z_L(\tau), \quad (6)$$

then in the outer space the wormhole's mouth are separated by time-like interval and hence closed time-like curves exist. It is necessary to note that $\Delta t(\tau)$ is independent from $z_L(\tau)$ and $z_R(\tau)$ that must satisfy to the only condition $|dz_{L,R}/d\tau| < 1$. For instance, $\Delta t(\tau)$ may be arbitrary function and $z_L(\tau)$ and $z_R(\tau)$ might be constants. Therefore the existence of the closed time-like curves does not depend from the wormhole's mouths motion in the outer space. The coincidence of the proper times of both mouths, that was declared in [5,6], is an additional, but non necessary, supposition. In the case $z_L = const$ this supposition is equal to the following particular form of $\Delta t(\tau)$:

$$\Delta t(\tau) = \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \sqrt{1 - V_R^2} d\tau \quad (7)$$

where $V_R = dz_R/d\tau$.

It is easy to see that the causality violation in the system of several wormholes is also independent from the relative motion of the wormholes' mouths in the outer space. For this purpose consider space-time model with two wormholes A and B and let

$$t_{AL} = t_{AR} = \tau, z_{AL} = \text{const}, z_{AR} = \text{const}.$$

Without loss of generality τ may be considered as mutual internal time coordinate for both wormholes. It is obviously that if $t_{BL} = t_{BR} = \tau$ then there is no causality violation in such model independently from the velocities of the wormhole B mouths in the outer space .

If now the mouths of the wormhole B are at rest near the mouths of A, i.e. $z_{BL} = z_{AL0}$, $z_{BR} = z_{AL0}$, $t_{AL} = t_{BL} = \tau$, but $t_{BR} = t_{BL} + \Delta t(\tau)$, where $\Delta t(\tau)$ is an arbitrary function, then causality violation arises if $\Delta t(\tau) > l_A + l_B$ for some τ , where l_A and l_B are the internal distances between mouths of wormholes A and B correspondingly. Obviously the space-time interval between events $(t_{BL}(\tau), z_{BL}(\tau))$ and $(t_{BR}(\tau), z_{BR}(\tau))$ might be both space-like and time-like in the causality violation case.

6 Quantum fields in space-time with causality violation

Nonsingular space-time (M, g) with causality violation must have nontrivial topology and hence nontrivial fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$. Therefore, as well as in the case of nontrivial space topology [24-26], instead of quantum fields consideration on M we may consider the invariant under the action of group Γ fields on the universal covering space $(\tilde{M})$ of M . In general case the conditions of Γ -invariance may be written as follows

$$\Phi_A(\gamma_i \circ \tilde{x}) = \Phi_A(\tilde{x}) \quad (8)$$

where $\gamma_i, i = 1, \dots$ are the generators of Γ , $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$, and A - cumulative index. Conditions (8) are the direct generalization of well-known periodicity conditions to the non additive action of fundamental group. These conditions are the direct consequence of the fields definition on M . If some physical quantity associated with bilinear combination of the fields Φ_A then the more general conditions

$$\Phi_A(\gamma_i \circ \tilde{x}) = a(\gamma_i) \Phi_A(\tilde{x}) \quad (9)$$

where $a^2(\gamma_i) = 1$, may be considered. It follows from the conditions (8) and (9) that in contrast to the widespread opinion the causality violation does not lead to the additional divergencies as compared with the theory on $\tilde{M}$, where no causality violation occur.

One of the most interesting features of conditions (9) is that sometimes these conditions with $a(\gamma_{i_*}) = -1$ for several i_* lead to the finite expectation value of the renormalized energy-momentum tensor $\langle T_{ab} \rangle^{ren}$ while for the fields that satisfy to the conditions (8) renormalized energy-momentum tensor diverges. If in such situation fundamental group Γ act on $\tilde{M}$ continuously, i. e. if for arbitrary $\alpha \in R$ and $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$ the action $\alpha \gamma_i \circ \tilde{x}$ is defined, then it may speculate that the field Φ_A must satisfy either to the conditions (8) or to the conditions

$$\Phi_A\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i \circ \tilde{x}\right) = a(\gamma_i) \Phi_A(\tilde{x}). \quad (10)$$

Such supposition may be considered as an additional "renormalization conjecture".

As an example we may consider the model, suggested by Frolov [10], of noncausal two-dimensional space-time model with cylinder topology $M^2 = R \times S^1$ and metric

$$ds^2 = \exp -2Wl dt^2 - dl^2, \quad (11)$$

where $W = \text{const}$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $0 \leq l \leq L$ and the points with coordinates (t, l) and $(\exp -WLt, l+L)$ are identified. The fundamental group $\pi_1(M^2)$ has the only generator $\gamma : (t, l) \mapsto (\exp Wlt, l+L)$.

As it was shown in [10] the expectation value of the renormalized energy-momentum tensor $\langle T_{ab} \rangle^{ren}$ of the scalar field with condition (8) in this model diverges. The divergent nature of the energy-momentum tensor in the considered model is the direct consequence of the singular nature of its universal covering space $\tilde{M}^2$, that is the two-plane R^2 with metric (11). Nevertheless the fields with nondivergent energy-momentum tensor may exist on $\tilde{M}^2$ because its geodesical incompleteness does not produced by the scalar curvature singularity. Indeed, if we consider the subclass of the scalar fields on the universal covering space $\tilde{M}^2$ of M^2 that satisfy to the condition (9) in the form

$$\Phi(\gamma_i \circ (t, l)) = \Phi(\exp -WLt, l + L) = -\Phi(t, l), \quad (12)$$

then the renormalized energy-momentum tensor $\langle T_{ab} \rangle^{ren}$ will be nondivergent [27].

It is easy to see that in the universal covering space of this model, i. e. in R^2 , the generator γ of the fundamental subgroup acts as one-parametric subgroup with parameter $L \in R$. Hence, using the results of [27], we may conclude that the scalar field $\tilde{\Phi}(t, l)$ on M that satisfies to the condition

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\exp -WL/2t, l + L/2) = -\tilde{\Phi}(t, l), \quad (13)$$

will have nondivergent expectation value of the renormalized energy-momentum tensor $\langle T_{ab} \rangle^{ren}$. Due to (13) the field $\tilde{\Phi}(t, l)$ satisfies to the condition (8) also.

7 Concluding remarks

The above consideration shows that in the space-time models with traversable wormholes the causality violation (the existence of closed time-like curves) depends from the conditions of the wormholes joining with the external space-time and does not depend from the motion of the wormhole mouths in the outer space. In particular, it was shown that if there is traversable wormhole with short handle in space-time then (i) there is absolute internal synchronization of events near wormhole's mouths; (ii) there is preferable reference system in the outer space-time, and (iii) the inertial motion of the traversable wormhole mouths in the outer space is absolute unlike the bodies's motion in Minkowski space-time. These properties of the traversable wormholes' models restrict the applicability of the relativity principle and Lorentz transformations in the outer space-time. In particular, they cannot be applied to the motion of the wormholes' mouths in the outer space. As a result the conclusions of papers [5-10,13] about possibility of the dynamical wormhole transformation into the time machine due to its mouths motion are wrong.

The analogous analyzes may be also applied to any other hypothetical carriers of super-light signals, for instance, to the cosmic strings' models that were considered in [22-23]. So the widespread statements that "time travel and faster then light space travel are closely connected" and if you can do one you can do another" [13] are wrong.

The equalities (2) - (3) and the analogous equalities for the other coordinates are the part of the space-time topology definition and must be introduced before the field and motion equation solving because they induce additional boundary conditions for the solutions. In causal cases these conditions admit dynamical interpretation as the equations of the wormhole mouths motion in the outer space. In causality violation cases such interpretation is impossible because events $(t_L(\tau), z_L(\tau))$ and $(t_R(\tau), z_R(\tau))$ are separated by time-like interval and hence there exist nither global time coordinate in space-time nor global (3+1)-decomposition. So the conclusion of paper [8] about unavoidable wormhole transformation into the time machine due to the physical fields dynamics is incorrect.

Due to (2) - (3) any functions that describe some physical system will satisfy to periodicity condition along any circle passing through the wormhole both in causal and noncausal cases. In general case these conditions may be written in the form of equations (8) or (9). As a result "the past changing" is impossible in the models with causality violation and hence no paradoxes such as the so-called "grandmother paradox" appear. So there is no necessity in additional hypothesis such as "causality protection conjecture" [13,14]. Further, the so called "principles of self-consistency" [7,8] (in the form of equations (8) or (9)) follows directly from the definition of fields on space-time. Moreover, it follows from the conditions (8), (9) that the quantum fields in space-time with causality violation have no additional divergencies as compared with the fields in its universal covering space. Nevertheless to obtain nondivergent theory the necessity in the additional conditions (10) ("renormalization conjecture") may appear. So the real question that connects with noncausal space-time models is the nature and the physical meaning of the boundary conditions that lead to the causality violation. It may suppose that this problem might have some physical meaning in the framework of multidimensional theories or in gravitation theories with changes of the metric's signature.

8 Acknowledgments

The work was supported in part by the Russian Ministry of Science within the "Cosmomicrophysics" Project.

References

- [1] Morris M.S., Thorne K.S., Amer. J. Phys., (1988), **56**, 395.
- [2] Visser M., Phys. Rev. D., (1989), **39**, 3182.
- [3] Davis P.C.V., Moss I.G., Class. and Quantum Grav., (1989), **6**, 173.
- [4] Roman T.A. Phys. Rev. D., (1992), **47**, 1370.
- [5] Morris M.S., Thorne K.S., Yourtsever U., Phys. Rev. Lett., (1988), **61**, 1446.
- [6] Novikov I.D., JETF (1989), **95**, 769 (in Russian).
- [7] Visser M., Phys. Rev. D., (1990), **41**, 1116.
- [8] Frolov V.P., Novikov I.D., Phys. Rev. D., (1990), **42**, 1057.
- [9] Fridman J., Morris M.S., Novikov I.D., Echeverria F., Klinkhammer G., Thorne K.S., Yourtsever U., Phys. Rev. D., (1990), **42**, 1915.
- [10] Frolov V.P., Phys. Rev. D., (1991), **43**, 3878.
- [11] Kim S.-W., Thorne K.S., Phys. Rev. D., (1990), **43**, 3929.
- [12] Klinkhammer G., Phys. Rev. D., (1992), **46**, 3388.
- [13] Hawking S.W., Phys. Rev. D., (1992), **46**, 603.
- [14] Visser M., Phys. Rev. D., (1993), **47**, 554.

- [15] Ellis G.F.R., Hawking S.W., The large-scale structure of space-time, Cambridge U.P., 1973.
- [16] York J.W. - in: Sources of Gravitational Radiation, ed. L. Smarr, Cambridge, Cambridge U.P., 1979, p. 83.
- [17] Choquet-Bruhat Y., York J.W - in: General Relativity and Gravitation, ed. A. Held, New-York: Plenum, 1979, p.99.
- [18] Konstantinov M.Yu., in: 13th International Conference on General Relativity and Gravitation. Abstracts of Contributed Papers, Huerta-Grande - Cordoba - Argentina, 1992, p. 245.
- [19] Konstantinov M.Yu., Izvestiya vuzov. Fizika, (1992), N 12, 83 (in Russian).
- [20] Kobayashi S., Nomizu K., Foundation of differential geometry, v. 1-2, New-York - London, 1963.
- [21] Moller K., The theory of relativity, 2d edition, Oxford, 1972.
- [22] Gott J.R., Phys. Rev. Lett., (1991), **66**, 1126.
- [23] Waelbroeck H., Gen. Rel. and Gravit., (1991), **23**, 219.
- [24] Birrel N.D., Davis P.C., Quantum fields in curved space, Cambridge U.P., 1989.
- [25] Dowker J.S., Banach R., J. Phys. A., (1978), **A11**, 2255.
- [26] Banach R., Dowker J.S., J. Phys. A., (1979), **A12**, 2527; (1979), **A12**, 2545.
- [27] Sushkov S.V., in: "Proceedings of the V seminar on Gravitational Energy and Gravitational Waves, Dubna, May 16-18, 1992", Dubna, 1993, 222 (in Russian).

Figures Captions

Fig. 1. Space section of the Lorentzian wormhole in $(2+1)$ -dimensional space-time.

Fig. 2. The clocks synchronization in the Lorentzian wormhole space-time: (a) observe motion in the outer space; (b) wormhole mouths motion in the outer space.

Fig. 3. Twin "paradox" in the Lorentzian wormhole space-time.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9406004v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9406004v1>

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9406004v1>